A provider of software as a service is acting as a serviceman. If the provider does not transfer any tangible personal property to the customer, then the transaction generally would not be subject to Retailers’ Occupation Tax, Use Tax, Service Occupation Tax, or Service Use Tax. If the provider transfers to the customer an API, applet, desktop agent, or a remote access agent to enable the customer to access the provider’s network and services, it appears the subscriber is receiving computer software that is subject to tax. See 86 Ill. Adm. Code Parts 130 and 140. (This is a GIL.)

March 2, 2017

Dear Xxxxx:

This letter is in response to your letter dated January 31, 2017, in which you requested information. The Department issues two types of letter rulings. Private Letter Rulings (“PLRs”) are issued by the Department in response to specific taxpayer inquiries concerning the application of a tax statute or rule to a particular fact situation. A PLR is binding on the Department, but only as to the taxpayer who is the subject of the request for ruling and only to the extent the facts recited in the PLR are correct and complete. Persons seeking PLRs must comply with the procedures for PLRs found in the Department’s regulations at 2 Ill. Adm. Code 1200.110. The purpose of a General Information Letter (“GIL”) is to direct taxpayers to Department regulations or other sources of information regarding the topic about which they have inquired. A GIL is not a statement of Department policy and is not binding on the Department. See 2 Ill. Adm. Code 1200.120. You may access our website at www.tax.illinois.gov to review regulations, letter rulings and other types of information relevant to your inquiry.

The nature of your inquiry and the information you have provided require that we respond with a GIL. In your letter you have stated and made inquiry as follows:

I am requesting a General Information Letter for my client COMPANY. The issue involves the creating of sales and use tax nexus for COMPANY’s out of state customers.

COMPANY’s business consists of a Voice Automated call center Hosting that is located in Illinois. Various services are provided to their customers. The services include inbound calls, outbound calls and/or two-way texting. The following further describes these services.

- Through telephone channel and cloud-based technology they provide self-service and ecommerce to their client’s customers by directing incoming calls to the appropriate department or individual. High quality natural language speech service and real-time customer data are utilized.

- COMPANY’s software send outbound calls to all or to individual clients of their customers depending on the nature of the message. Calls to individual clients would include information related to their accounts, i.e. account status or order status.
- COMPANY’s system receives incoming calls to answer automated customer service questions from client customers and accept account payment information. Inbound calls would include surveys, components of order/member processing, applications, scheduling and customer account details.

- They also respond to text messages coming in or send out text messages.

Assuming that COMPANY’s clients have some sales to Illinois customers, Would the automated services provided by COMPANY create sales tax nexus for it's out of state clients in the following situation? (This would assume that COMPANY’s client has no other activity in IL all delivery is made via common carrier, orders are accepted and process outside of Illinois.).

1) If we only provide protected activities (as identified Illinois Regulation 100.9720) such allowing the customer to track or update the status of an order, passing inquiries and complaints to the home office that these activities would not create nexus for our customers.

2) Will routing a call to a technical service agent outside of Illinois qualify as a protect activity under passing inquiries and complaints to the home office?

3) If COMPANY provides an unprotected activity such as acceptance of payment on the collection of a delinquent account, that activity may create Nexus in Illinois for our customer, as we are an agent located in Illinois providing an unprotected activity.

Can you please provide some guidance, as the regulations were written prior to the modernization of the call center.

DEPARTMENT’S RESPONSE:

Nexus

The Illinois Retailers' Occupation Tax Act imposes a tax upon persons engaged in this State in the business of selling tangible personal property to purchasers for use or consumption. See 35 ILCS 120/2; 86 Ill. Adm. Code 130.101. In Illinois, Use Tax is imposed on the privilege of using, in this State, any kind of tangible personal property that is purchased anywhere at retail from a retailer. See 35 ILCS 105/3; 86 Ill. Adm. Code 150.101. These taxes comprise what is commonly known as “sales” tax in Illinois. If the purchases occur in Illinois, the purchasers must pay the Use Tax to the retailer at the time of purchase. The retailers are then allowed to retain the amount of Use Tax paid to reimburse themselves for their Retailers' Occupation Tax liability incurred on those sales. If the
purchases occur outside Illinois, purchasers must self-assess their Use Tax liability and remit it directly to the Department.

An “Illinois Retailer” is one who makes sales of tangible personal property in Illinois. The Illinois Retailer is then liable for Retailers’ Occupation Tax on gross receipts from sales and must collect the corresponding Use Tax incurred by the purchasers. Our regulations were amended in response to the Illinois Supreme Court’s decision in *Hartney Fuel Oil Co. v. Hamer*, 2013 IL 115130. The regulations specify the selling activities that trigger Retailers’ Occupation Tax liability in Illinois.

Another type of retailer is the retailer maintaining a place of business in Illinois. The definition of a “retailer maintaining a place of business in Illinois” is described in 86 Ill. Adm. Code 150.201(i). This type of retailer is required to register with the State as an Illinois Use Tax collector. See 86 Ill. Adm. Code 150.801. The retailer must collect and remit Use Tax to the State on behalf of the retailer’s Illinois customers even though the retailer does not incur any Retailers’ Occupation Tax liability.

The United States Supreme Court in *Quill Corp. v. North Dakota*, 112 S.Ct. 1904 (1992), set forth the current guidelines for determining what nexus requirements must be met before a person is properly subject to a state’s tax laws. The Supreme Court has set out a 2-prong test for nexus. The first prong is whether the Due Process Clause is satisfied. Due process will be satisfied if the person or entity purposely avails itself or himself of the benefits of an economic market in a forum state. *Quill* at 1910. The second prong of the Supreme Court’s nexus test requires that, if due process requirements have been satisfied, the person or entity must have physical presence in the forum state to satisfy the Commerce Clause. A physical presence is not limited to an office or other physical building. Under Illinois law, it also includes the presence of any agent or representative of the seller. The representative need not be a sales representative. Any type of physical presence in the State of Illinois, including the vendor’s delivery and installation of his product on a repetitive basis, will trigger Use Tax collection responsibilities. Please refer to *Brown’s Furniture, Inc. v. Zehnder*, 171 Ill.2d 410 (1996).

The final type of retailer is the out-of-State retailer that does not have sufficient nexus with Illinois to be required to submit to Illinois tax laws. A retailer in this situation does not incur Retailers’ Occupation Tax on sales into Illinois and is not required to collect Use Tax on behalf of its Illinois customers. However, the retailer’s Illinois customers will still incur Use Tax liability on the purchase of the goods and have a duty to self-assess and remit their Use Tax liability directly to the State.

Beginning July 1, 2011, the definition of a “retailer maintaining a place of business” was amended to include additional types of retailers. A retailer maintaining a place of business also includes a retailer having a contract with a person located in this State under which:

A. The retailer sells the same or substantially similar line of products as the person located in this State and does so using an identical or substantially similar name, trade name, or trademark as the person located in this State; and

B. The retailer provides a commission or other consideration to the person located in this State based upon the sale of tangible personal property by the retailer. See 35 ILCS 105/2(1.2).
These provisions only apply if the cumulative gross receipts from sales of tangible personal property by the retailer to customers in this State under all such contracts exceed $10,000 during the preceding 4 quarterly periods. Please note that in Performance Mktg. Ass'n, Inc. v. Hamer, 998 N.E.2d 54 (2013) the Illinois Supreme Court struck down 35 ILCS 105/2(1.1) and 35 ILCS 110/2(1.1), a “click-thru nexus provision” enacted in 2011. However, new provisions became effective January 1, 2015. The following provisions address the court’s concerns in Performance Mktg. Ass'n, Inc. v. Hamer, 998 N.E. 2d 54 (2013).

Beginning January 1, 2015, a retailer maintaining a place of business also includes a retailer having a contract with a person located in this State under which the person, for a commission or other consideration based upon the sale of tangible personal property by the retailer, directly or indirectly refers potential customers to the retailer by providing to the potential customers a promotional code or other mechanism that allows the retailer to track purchases referred by such persons.

Examples of mechanisms that allow the retailer to track purchases referred by such persons include but are not limited to the use of a link on the person's Internet website, promotional codes distributed through the person's hand-delivered or mailed material, and promotional codes distributed by the person through radio or other broadcast media. These provisions apply only if the cumulative gross receipts from sales of tangible personal property by the retailer to customers who are referred to the retailer by all persons in Illinois under such contracts exceed $10,000 during the preceding 4 quarterly periods ending on the last day of March, June, September, and December. A retailer meeting these requirements shall be presumed to be maintaining a place of business in Illinois but may rebut this presumption by submitting proof that the referrals or other activities pursued within this State by such persons were not sufficient to meet the nexus standards of the United States Constitution during the preceding 4 quarterly periods. See 35 ILCS 105/2(1.1).

Service Transactions:

Retailers’ Occupation Tax and Use Tax do not apply to sales of service. Under the Service Occupation Tax Act, businesses providing services (i.e., servicemen) are taxed on tangible personal property transferred as an incident to sales of service. See 86 Ill. Adm. Code 140.101. The purchase of tangible personal property that is transferred to the service customer may result in either Service Occupation Tax liability or Use Tax liability for the servicemen depending upon his activities. The serviceman’s liability may be calculated in one of four ways:

(1) separately-stated selling price of tangible personal property transferred incident to service;

(2) 50% of the serviceman's entire bill;

(3) Service Occupation Tax on the serviceman's cost price if the serviceman is a registered de minimis serviceman; or

(4) Use Tax on the serviceman's cost price if the serviceman is de minimis and is not otherwise required to be registered under Section 2a of the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act.
The Department does not consider the viewing, downloading or electronically transmitting of video, text and other data over the internet to be the transfer of tangible personal property. However, if a company provides services that are accompanied with the transfer of tangible personal property, including computer software, such service transactions are generally subject to tax liability under one of the four methods set forth above.

If a transaction does not involve the transfer of any tangible personal property to the customer, then it generally would not be subject to Retailers’ Occupation Tax, Use Tax, Service Occupation Tax, or Service Use Tax.

**Computer Software**

“Computer software” means a set of statements, data, or instructions to be used directly or indirectly in a computer in order to bring about a certain result in any form in which those statements, data, or instructions may be embodied, transmitted, or fixed, by any method now known or hereafter developed, regardless of whether the statements, data, or instructions are capable of being perceived by or communicated to humans, and includes prewritten or canned software.” 35 ILCS 120/2-25. Generally, sales of “canned” computer software are taxable retail sales in Illinois. Canned computer software is considered to be tangible personal property regardless of the form in which it is transferred or transmitted, including tape, disc, card, electronic means, or other media. 86 Ill. Adm. Code 130.1935. However, if the computer software consists of custom computer programs, then the sales of such software may not be taxable retail sales. Custom computer programs or software are prepared to the special order of the customer. The selection of pre-written or canned programs assembled by vendors into software packages does not constitute custom software unless real and substantial changes are made to the programs or creation of program interfacing logic. See 86 Ill. Adm. Code 130.1935(c)(3). Computer software that is not custom software is considered to be canned computer software.

If transactions for the licensing of computer software meet all of the criteria provided in subsection (a)(1) of Section 130.1935, neither the transfer of the software nor the subsequent software updates will be subject to Retailers’ Occupation Tax. A license of software is not a taxable retail sale if:

A) It is evidenced by a written agreement signed by the licensor and the customer;

B) It restricts the customer’s duplication and use of the software;

C) It prohibits the customer from licensing, sublicensing or transferring the software to a third party (except to a related party) without the permission and continued control of the licensor;

D) The licensor has a policy of providing another copy at minimal or no charge if the customer loses or damages the software, or permitting the licensee to make and keep an archival copy, and such policy is either stated in the license agreement, supported by the licensor’s books and records, or supported by a notarized statement made under penalties of perjury by the licensor; and
E) The customer must destroy or return all copies of the software to the licensor at the end of the license period. This provision is deemed to be met, in the case of a perpetual license, without being set forth in the license agreement.

If a license of canned computer software does not meet all the criteria the software is taxable.

A provider of software as a service is acting as a serviceman. As a serviceman, the seller does not incur Retailers’ Occupation Tax. Service Occupation Tax is imposed upon all persons engaged in the business of making sales of service on all tangible personal property transferred incident to a sale of service, including computer software (35 ILCS 115/3), and is calculated as explained above. Currently, computer software provided through a cloud-based delivery system – a system in which computer software is never downloaded onto a client’s computer and is only accessed remotely – is not subject to tax. The Department continues to review cloud-based arrangements. If, after review, the Department determines that these transactions are subject to tax, it will only apply this determination prospectively.

If a provider of a service provides to the subscriber an API, applet, desktop agent, or a remote access agent to enable the subscriber to access the provider’s network and services, the subscriber may be receiving computer software. Although there may not be a separate charge to the subscriber for the computer software, it is nonetheless subject to tax, unless the transfer qualifies as a non-taxable license of computer software. If the provider, as a serviceman, is not otherwise required to be registered under Section 2a of the Retailers’ Occupation Tax Act and qualifies as a de minimis serviceman, the provider could elect to pay Use Tax on its cost price of the computer software.

I hope this information is helpful. If you require additional information, please visit our website at www.tax.illinois.gov or contact the Department’s Taxpayer Information Division at (217) 782-3336.

Very truly yours,

Richard S. Wolters
Associate Counsel
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